



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/627,248	07/28/2000	Donnie V. Savage	CISCP541	2370
26541	7590 05/12/2004		EXAM	INER
RITTER, LANG & KAPLAN 12930 SARATOGA AE. SUITE D1			KADING, JOSHUA A	
SARATOGA, CA 9507		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	,		2661	7
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/627,248	SAVAGE, DONNIE V.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joshua Kading	2661			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	DEDIVIS SET TO EXPIDE 3 MC	NITH(S) EPOM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 'HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 26 February 2004.				
,					
·—	, 				
closed in accordance with the practice u	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the appli	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 26-36</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 200	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		oplication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the					
application from the International					
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not r	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview St	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) Paper No(s))/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) ☐ Notice of Int 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Art Unit: 2661

5

10

15

20

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "reducing" in claim 25 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "reducing" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. In the previous Office Action, the term "limiting" rendered the claim indefinite. Changing the term from "limiting" to "reducing" does not make the claim any more definite. The same problem of not knowing the metes and bounds of the claim apply. For example, does "reducing the amount of route information" mean reducing the flow, the bandwidth, or maybe the types of data?

Allowable Subject Matter

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 19, 20, and 23 are allowable because the prior art of record fails to teach, in combination with other claim limitations, "... directs the query packets to be sent only to neighboring devices that have not been identified as stub routers upon receiving notice of a failed connection..." (claims 19, 20); and "... a response packet to

5

15

20

be sent with routes identified as inaccessible upon receiving a query for route information other than the type specified in the information packet, means for sending a response packet with routes identified inaccessible..." (claim 23).

Response to Arguments

The objection to the drawings is withdrawn.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2661

5

10

15

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua Kading whose telephone number is (703) 305-0342. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Olms can be reached on (703) 305-4703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joshua Kading Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 2661

May 6, 2004

KENNETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER